Fast Construction of Polar Codes

Wei Wang*, Liping Li*
*Key Laboratory of Intelligent Computing and Signal Processing of the Ministry of Education of China Anhui University, China,
Email: liping_li@ahu.edu.cn

arXiv:1610.09782v1 [cs.IT] 31 Oct 2016

***Abstract*—The construction of polar codes for channels other than BECs requires sorting of all bit channels and then selecting the best K of them for a block length $N = 2^n$. The sorting algorithms, be it density evolution or Tal-Vardy's algorithm, typically require intense computations. In this paper, two types of partial orders (PO) of polar codes are incorporated in the construction process to decrease the required computations. Three sets, corresponding to the good bit channels ($\mathcal{I}$), the frozen bit channels ($\mathcal{F}$), and the undetermined bit channels ($\mathcal{U}$), are selected by applying PO relations. A new process, called Dimension Reduction (DR), is proposed in this paper to further reduce the size of $\mathcal{U}$. Our studies show that for $N = 10$ and the code rate $R = 0.5$ (being the worst code rate), incorporating PO relations alone can determine 50% of the bit channels ($|\mathcal{I}| + |\mathcal{F}| \approx N/2$), resulting in only 50% of the sorting calculations. With our proposed DR, this number of the determined bit channels goes up to 75%, which brings a significant reduction of computations in the construction of polar codes.**

I. Introduction

Polar codes have attracted researchers from around the world since its introduction in [1]. It's proven in [1] that polar codes can achieve the channel capacity at a low encoding and decoding complexity of $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$ for binary-input discrete memoryless channels. A successive cancellation (SC) decoder was proposed to decode N synthesized channels $W_N^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$. For a polar code with a code rate R, the $K = \lfloor NR \rfloor$ best channels $W_N^{(i)}$ are used to transmit information bits; the inputs of the remaining channels are frozen and are made known to the transmitter and the receiver. The quality of a channel $W_N^{(i)}$ can be measured, for example, by the error probability $P_e(W_N^{(i)})$. However, determining the quality of the channels is in general not an easy task except for BEC channels.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the set containing K indices of the good bit channels and let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the set containing the frozen bit channels' indices. Let $W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be the underlying channel with a transition probability $W(y|x)$. As stated previously, sorting the bit channels are difficult because of the huge number of the output alphabets $|\mathcal{Y}|^N$. Evaluating this huge number of transition probabilities $W_N^{(i)}$ for each bit channel is beyond the reach of a practical system. Monte-Carlo simulations are proposed in [1] to sort the bit channels which has a high complexity of $\mathcal{O}(MN \log N)$ (M being the iterations of the Monte-Carlo simulations). Density evolutions are used in [2][3] to construct polar codes. The density evolution process involves function convolutions whose precisions are limited by the complexity. In [4], bit channel approximations are proposed with a controlled complexity of $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot \mu^2 \log \mu)$ (μ being a user defined parameter to limit the number of output alphabet at each approximation stage). Another family of polar code construction is to use Gaussian Approximations (GA) on AWGN channels [5]–[7]. The GA method is inherently limit by the approximation function [7] and is therefore not clear whether GA is applicable to all block lengths.

The partial order (PO) relations are reported in [2][8]. We borrow the notations from [8] to denote the two types of POs: $\preceq_1$ and $\preceq_2$. The first type of PO, $\preceq_1$, is studied in [8] which orders the bit channels with the same Hamming weight (here the Hamming weight being that of the binary expansions of the bit channel indices). The second type of PO, $\preceq_2$, are stated in [2]. The PO $\preceq_2$ orders the channels with different Hamming weight. These two POs are channel independent: the orders are universal for all of underlying channels. Note that the POs we study in this paper are different from the PO of [9] in which stochastic degradation relations are studied between two binary-input discrete memoryless channels.

The aforementioned constructions in [2]–[7] sort all of the N bit channels. The inherent ordering of polar codes from $\preceq_1$ and $\preceq_2$ is not utilized before sorting all the bit channels. Therefore, it is not clear how much we can save in the construction by applying POs first. In this paper, we try to apply $\preceq_1$ and $\preceq_2$ before sorting all N bit channels and to quantify the savings in this process. Three sets, corresponding to the good bit channels ($\mathcal{I}$), the frozen bit channels ($\mathcal{F}$), and the undetermined bit channels ($\mathcal{U}$), are selected by applying the two POs. Any sorting algorithm, for example, the approximation in [4], can be applied to sort the bit channels in $\mathcal{U}$. The smaller the size of $\mathcal{U}$, the larger the savings are. To further reduce the size of $\mathcal{U}$, we introduce a Dimension Reduction (DR) method. DR works by first sorting the channels at a block length $N' = 2^{n'} < N = 2^n$. The resulted ordering of N' channels is used together with $\preceq_1$ and $\preceq_2$ again to move the channels in $\mathcal{U}$ to either $\mathcal{I}$ or $\mathcal{F}$. The calculations in our study show that for $N = 10$ and the code rate $R = 0.5$ (being the worst code rate), incorporating PO relations alone can determine 50% of the bit channels ($|\mathcal{I}|+|\mathcal{F}| \approx N/2$), resulting in only 50% of the sorting calculations. With our proposed DR, the determined portion of the channels goes up to 75%, which brings a significant reduction in the construction of polar codes.

The notations in this paper are as the following. The notation v_1^N is used to represent a row vector with elements $(v_1, v_2, ..., v_N)$. The n-bit binary expansion of an integer i is

written as $i = (i_n, i_{n-1}, ..., i_1)_b$. Given a vector v_1^N, the vector v_i^j is a subvector $(v_i, ..., v_j)$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. If there is a set $\mathcal{A} \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, then $v_\mathcal{A}$ denotes a subvector with elements in $\{v_i, i \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as the following. Section II introduces the basics of polar codes and the partial orders we used in this paper. The construction using the two partial orders is presented in Section III. Also presented in Section III is our proposed dimension reduction method. The efficiency of the proposed construction is given in Section IV. Conclusion remarks are presented at the end in Section V.

II. Polar Code and Partial Orders

The first part of this section includes the relevant basics of polar codes from [1]. For all other details of polar codes, please refer to [1]. The second part is to restate some results of partial orders from [2] and [8].

A. Polar Codes

Let W be any binary-input discrete memoryless channel (B-DMC) with a transition probability $W(y|x)$. The input alphabet $\mathcal{X}$ takes values in $\{0, 1\}$ and the output alphabet is $\mathcal{Y}$. The generator matrix $G = BF^{\otimes n}$ where B is a permutation matrix and $F = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. The operation $F^{\otimes n}$ is the nth Kronecker power of F over the binary field $\mathbb{F}_2$. Polar codes synthesize $N = 2^n (n \geq 1)$ bit channels, $\{W_N^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$, out of N independent copies of W. The transformation has a tree structure in [1], which has a basic one-step channel transformation defined as $(W, W) \mapsto (W^{'}, W^{''})$, where

$$W^{'}(y_1, y_2|u_1) = \sum_{u_2} \frac{1}{2} W(y_1|u_1 \oplus u_2) W(y_2|u_2) \quad (1)$$

$$W^{''}(y_1, y_2, u_1|u_2) = \frac{1}{2} W(y_1|u_1 \oplus u_2) W(y_2|u_2) \quad (2)$$

A bit channel $i - 1 = (i_n, i_{n-1}, ..., i_1)_b$ $(1 \leq i \leq N)$ is transformed in each tree level according to the bit of i at that level: at tree level $1 \leq k \leq n$, bit channel i takes $W^{'}$ if $i_k = 0$. Otherwise $W^{''}$ is taken at level k. The Bhattacharyya parameters of $W^{'}$ and $W^{''}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$Z(W^{''}) = Z(W)^2 \quad (3)$$

$$Z(W^{'}) \leq 2Z(W) - Z(W)^2 \quad (4)$$

$$Z(W^{'}) \geq Z(W) \geq Z(W^{''}) \quad (5)$$

Note that for binary erasure channels (BEC), the Bhattacharyya parameter $Z(W^{'})$ has an exact expression $Z(W^{'}) = 2Z(W) - Z(W)^2$, resulting in a recursive calculation of the Bhattacharyya parameters of the final bit channels. Finally, after the channel transformations, the transition probability for bit channel i is defined as

$$W_N^{(i)}(y_1^N, u_1^{i-1}|u_i) = \sum_{u_{i+1}^N \in \mathcal{X}^{N-i}} \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} W^N(y_1^N|u_1^N G) \quad (6)$$

where $W^N(\cdot)$ is the underlying vector channel (N copies of the channel W).

B. Partial Orders

As in [4][8], we write $W_N^{(j)} \preceq W_N^{(i)}$ if bit channel j is stochastically degraded with respect to bit channel i $(1 \leq i, j \leq N)$.

To introduce the first PO, $\preceq_1$, some of the notations and theorems are restated from [8] without providing proofs. Denote $\mathcal{Z}_n = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. The following statement defines a relation between two numbers with the same Hamming weight [8].

Definition 1. *For $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, let $i-1 = (i_{n-1}, i_{n-2}, ..., i_1)_b$ and $j - 1 = (j_{n-1}, j_{n-2}, ..., j_1)_b$ be the binary expansion of $i - 1$ and $j - 1$ respectively. We write $j \nearrow i$ if there exist l, $l^{'} \in \mathcal{Z}_n$ with $l < l^{'}$ such that*

1) *$j_l = 1$ and $j_{l'} = 0$*
2) *$i_l = 0$ and $i_{l'} = 1$*
3) *For all $k \in \mathcal{Z}_n \backslash \{l, l^{'}\} : j_k = i_k$*

In Definition 1, $j-1$ can be obtained from $i-1$ by switching a higher position 1 (at position l') with a lower position 0 (at position l). The two numbers with such a relation is denoted as $j \nearrow i$. The following theorem [8] states the relationship between two bit channels $W_N^{(i)}$ and $W_N^{(j)}$ with $j \nearrow i$.

Theorem 1. *If $j \nearrow i$ then $W_N^{(j)}$ is stochastically degraded with respect to $W_N^{(i)}$.*

With Theorem 1, bit channels with the same Hamming weight can be ordered. The order obtained from Theorem 1 is written as $\preceq_1$, which is equivalent to $\preceq$. The second partial order comes from [2] and is restated below in Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. *For $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, $W_N^{(j)} \preceq_2 W_N^{(i)}$ if and only if $i_t = 1$ when $j_t = 1$ for any $t \in \mathcal{Z}_n$, where $(i_n, i_{n-1}, ..., i_1)$ and $(j_n, j_{n-1}, ..., j_1)$ are binary expansions of $i - 1$ and $j - 1$ respectively.*

With a symmetric underlying channel W, it's proven [2] that $W_N^{(j)} \preceq_2 W_N^{(i)} \implies W_N^{(j)} \preceq W_N^{(i)}$. Therefore, the second partial order $\preceq_2$ can also be used to order the bit channels.

To the authors' knowledge, there are not yet complete results to utilize these two partial orders $\preceq_1$ and $\preceq_2$ to select the bit channels before any sorting algorithm. The work in [8] is the starting point in this direction.

III. Construction Using POs and Dimension Reduction

A. Construction with POs

As noted in [8], partial orders can only determine the relationship of some of the bit channels. If we want to sort all N bit channels, it necessary to obtain all the $\binom{N}{2}$ (N choose 2) relationships. It's not hard to see that we still have to use the sorting algorithms as in [4] to obtain the error probability $\{P_e(W_N^{(i)})\}_{i=1}^N$ for all the bit channels except for a few best channels.

However, in the polar code design, what is of interest is to find $K = \lfloor NR \rfloor$ best channels among N bit channels. In this regard, the two partial orders, $\preceq_1$ and $\preceq_2$, can be used

to pre-determine the elements of $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{U}$ before any sorting algorithm is applied. The sets $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{U}$ store good channel indices, frozen channel indices, and undetermined channel indices, respectively. Applying $\preceq_1$ and $\preceq_2$, some of the relationships of the N bit channels can be determined. The following proposition can be used to determine whether a bit channel should be in $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{F}$, or $\mathcal{U}$.

Proposition 1. *If a bit channel i is better than at least $N-K$ bit channels, then $i \in \mathcal{I}$. If a bit channel j is worse than at least K bit channels, then $j \in \mathcal{F}$. All other bit channels are in the undetermined set $\mathcal{U}$.*

The proof of this proposition is quite straightforward and is therefore omitted here.

As in [8], the two POs can be combined to obtain more relations among bit channels. An example can show the power of the combinations of $\preceq_1$ and $\preceq_2$. Let $N = 2^5 = 32$. The relationship between bit channel $i-1 = (10110)_b$ and $j-1 = (00101)_b$ can't be determined purely from $\preceq_1$ or $\preceq_2$. The Hamming weights of i and j are not the same. Therefore $\preceq_1$ can't be used to order them. The PO $\preceq_2$ can't be applied either because the first position does not satisfy Thoerem 2: $i_1 = 0$ but $j_1 = 1$. However, bit channel $i'-1 = (00110)_b$ and $j-1 = (00101)_b$ can be determined from Theorem 1 as $W_{32}^{(j)} \preceq_1 W_{32}^{(i')}$. Then bit channel i' and i can be determined from theorem 2 as: $W_{32}^{(i')} \preceq_2 W_{32}^{(i)}$. From the transitivity of the POs, we have $W_{32}^{(j)} \preceq_1 W_{32}^{(i')} \preceq_2 W_{32}^{(i)}$ which is equivalent to $W_{32}^{(j)} \preceq W_{32}^{(i')} \preceq W_{32}^{(i)}$.

With the combined POs and Proposition 1, Algorithm 1 is provided to determine the elements of sets $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{U}$. In our algorithm, R_p is defined as a $N \times N$ matrix whose ith row stores the relationship between bit channel i and all bit channels $j < i$. If $W_N^{(j)} \preceq W_N^{(i)}$ is determined from $\preceq_1$, $\preceq_2$, or their combinations, then $R_p(i,j) = 1$. Otherwise, $R_p(i,j) = 0$. Therefore, only the lower triangular part (excluding the diagonal) of the matrix R_p stores the relationship between all bit channels. The rest of R_p is set to be 0.

Once the relationship matrix R_p is obtained, summations over rows and columns of it can be made to obtain vectors v_1^N and w_1^N respectively. It can be shown easily that the entry v_i of v_1^N corresponds to the number of bit channels that are worse than bit channel i. And the entry w_j of w_1^N corresponds to the number of bit channels that are better than bit channel j. Algorithm 1 shows how to obtain the matrix R_p. Process R_p to get the vectors v_1^N and w_1^N. Then Proposition 1 can be applied to assign elements to the sets $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{U}$. What determines the remaining calculations of the sorting algorithms is the size of $\mathcal{U}$. For example, $|\mathcal{U}|$ determines the number of callings of the Tal-Vardy algorithm [4]. In Fig. 1, the ratio $\gamma = |\mathcal{U}|/N$ is plotted for $N = 2^9$ (the circled line) which shows that the combinations of the two POs can determine 85% of the bit channels with $\gamma = 15\%$ for $R = 0.1$. For $R = 0.5$, which is the worst case, the two POs determine 50% of the bit channels, which, considering the complexity of the sorting algorithms [2][4], is still a significant saving. To obtain a more clear picture of the bit channels whose relationships are being determined and undetermined, a plot is shown in Fig. 2-(a) for $N = 2^9$ and $R = 0.5$. The black dots in Fig. 2-(a) correspond to value 1 and the white dots are value 0. From Fig. 2-(a), it can be seen that there are still rooms of white space which contribute to the size of the undertermined set $\mathcal{U}$. In the following subsection, a dimension reduction method is applied to further reduce the size of $\mathcal{U}$.

Algorithm 1 Function R_p = PO_relation(n). Find out all the relationships that POs can determine, and store the results in a matrix R_p

Input:
n;
Output:
a matrix R_p;

```
N=2^n
R_p = zeros(N, N)
for i = 2 to N do
    (i_n, i_{n-1}, ..., i_1)_b → a
    for j = 1 to i − 1 do
        (j_n, j_{n-1}, ..., j_1)_b → b
        x = a − b
        positions of (x == 1) → d_1
        positions of (x == −1) → d_2
        y = |d_2| // the length of d_2
        if y == 0 then
            R_p(i, j) = 1  // PO ⪯_2
        else
            // POs ⪯_1 and ⪯_2
            if length(d_1) ≥ y then
                if d_1(1 : y) < d_2(1 : y) then
                    R_p(i, j) = 1
                end if
            end if
        end if
    end for
end for
return R_p;
```

B. Dimension Reduction

The white space in Fig. 2-(a) is caused by the fact that the relationship between some bit channels can't be determined from POs $\preceq_1$, $\preceq_2$, or their combinations. Here is an example. For $N = 2^8$, consider bit channel $i-1 = (00100111)_b$ and $j-1 = (00011010)_b$. Applying the two POs and their combinations yields no decision on whether $W_N^{(i)}$ is better or worse than $W_N^{(j)}$.

Let's take a closer look at the two bit channels in the example above. Let $\mathcal{Z}_u$ denote the upper part of the descending set $\mathcal{Z}_n$ with $n_u = |\mathcal{Z}_u|$. Similarly $\mathcal{Z}_m$ and $\mathcal{Z}_l$ are the middle and lower parts of $\mathcal{Z}_n$ with $n_m = |\mathcal{Z}_m|$ and $n_l = |\mathcal{Z}_l|$. The sizes satisfy $0 \leq n_u, n_m, n_l \leq n$ and $n_u + n_m + n_l = n$.



Fig. 1: The value of γ as a function of the code rate R with POs and PO+DR. The underlying channel is AWGN with a SNR of 1 dB. The block length is $N = 2^9$.

Divide the binary expansion of i into three consecutive parts: $i-1 = (i_{\mathcal{Z}_u}, i_{\mathcal{Z}_m}, i_{\mathcal{Z}_l})_b$. The upper part $i_{\mathcal{Z}_u}$ defines a new bit channel at the block length $N_u = 2^{n_u} \leq N = 2^n$: $W_{N_u}^{(i_u)}$. For the middle and the lower part, there are similarly two new bit channels. For this specific example, $n_u = 2$, $n_m = 4$, and $n_l = 2$. Among the three new bit channels, two of them can be determined: $W_{N_u}^{j_u} = W_{N_u}^{i_u}$ and $W_{N_l}^{j_l} \preceq_2 W_{N_l}^{i_l}$. Only the relationship between the middle channels can't be determined.

On the other hand, if the middle bit channels can be found to be $W_{N_m}^{j_m} \preceq W_{N_m}^{i_m}$ through any sorting algorithm, then we can reach the conclusion that $W_N^{(j)} \preceq W_N^{(i)}$ from the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *Consider bit channel i and j ($1 \leq i, j \leq N$) with $i-1 = (i_n, i_{n-1}, ..., i_1)_b$ and $j-1 = (j_n, j_{n-1}, ..., j_1)_b$. Divide the binary expansions of $i-1$ and $j-1$ into three parts: $i-1 = (i_{\mathcal{Z}_u}, i_{\mathcal{Z}_m}, i_{\mathcal{Z}_l})_b$ and $j-1 = (j_{\mathcal{Z}_u}, j_{\mathcal{Z}_m}, j_{\mathcal{Z}_l})_b$. If $W_{N_m}^{j_m} \preceq W_{N_m}^{i_m}$, and the upper and lower bit channel of i are no worse than the counterparts of bit channel j, then $W_N^{(j)} \preceq W_N^{(i)}$.*

The proof of Proposition 2 is omitted in this paper due to the space limit.

In this paper, a Dimension Reduction (DR) method is proposed to reduce the size of the undetermined set $\mathcal{U}$ (also to fill in the white space in Fig. 2-(a)). This DR is based on Proposition 2 which needs to call a sorting algorithm to order the bit channels at a middle block length $N_m = 2^{n_m} < N = 2^n$ (also where the name DR coming from). A parameter to be determined is the middle level n_m. In this paper, we take $n_m = \lceil n/2 \rceil$. At this lower level, a relationship matrix, R_m is first obtained from Algorithm 1. Then the undetermined part of R_m is filled in by the order obtained from calling any sorting algorithm, for example [2] [4]. The algorithm of this part is not provided in the paper due to the space limit. Then, the original relationship matrix R_p is updated by moving the middle part across the whole n-bit range. This part is provided in Algorithm 2. Finally, a top-level Algorithm is provided in Algorithm 3. The results of the proposed DR can be seen from the red dots in Fig. 2-(b), which show the additional relationships obtained from the DR.

Algorithm 2 Function R_p = DR_relation(R_p,R_m). Apply the DR to determine more relationships among bit channels.

Input:
R_p, R_m;
Output:
R_p;
// We divide a channel into three parts,eg:
// $\underbrace{xx\cdots x}_{n_u}\underbrace{yy\cdots y}_{n_m}\underbrace{zz\cdots z}_{n_l}$
// $n_u + n_m + n_l = n$
$N_l = 2^{n_l}$, $N_u = 2^{n_u}$
$i_u, j_u \in [1, N_u]$ // two channels from the upper part
$i_l, j_l \in [1, N_l]$ // two channels from the lower part
$i_m, j_m \in [1, N_m]$ // two channels from the middle part
// Try to determine the relationship of the following two channels
// channel i
$i = (i_u - 1) \times (N_m \times N_l) + (i_m - 1) \times N_l + i_l$
// channel j
$j = (j_u - 1) \times (N_m \times N_l) + (j_m - 1) \times N_l + j_l$
// the following three conditions are obtained from the matrix R_m
if (i_u is not worse than j_u) and (i_l is not worse than j_l) and (i_m is better than j_m) **then**
  if $i > j$ **then**
    $R_p(i, j) = 1$
  else
    // bit channel j is worse than bit channel i
    $R_p(j, i) = -1$
  end if
end if
return R_p;

IV. Numerical Results

In this section, the efficiency of applying POs and DR is quantified. The underlying channel is the AWGN with a SNR of 1 dB. When applying the DR, the algorithm called to sort the lower dimension channels is from [4]. For ease of description, we use PO to refer to the results applying the two POs and PO + DR meaning both POs and DR are applied. All our construction results in this section match the constructions in [4]. Therefore, in the following, we only show the efficiency results.

Fig. 1 shows the percentage of the remaining bit channels which can't be determined from POs, or from PO+DR. This percentage is defined as γ in Section III-A. The circled line is the value of γ as a function of the code rate R for the block length $N = 2^9$. The line with stars in Fig. 1 is γ after applying POs and DR. It can be seen from Fig. 1 that the value of γ decreases with the increase of R when $R > 0.5$ and increases

Algorithm 3 Determine elements of $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{U}$.

Input:

n, R, snr;

Output:

$\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{U}$;

1: $N = 2^n$, $K = \lfloor N \times R \rfloor$, $N_f = N - K$
2: $n_m = \lceil n/2 \rceil$, $N_m = 2^{n_m}$
3: R_p = PO_relation(n). // Call Algorithm 1, return the matrix R_p on the basis of n.
4: // Assume R_m at the low dimension is already calculated at the input snr
5: R_p = DR_relation(R_p, R_m) // Call Algorithm 2, return the matrix R_p.
6: // vector s_1^N: s_i the number of bit channels worse than bit channel i
7: // vector f_1^N: f_i the number of bit channels better than bit channel i
8: // this function counts the number of channels worse than each bit channel and better than each bit channel
9: (s_1^N, f_1^N) = counting_channels(R_p)
10: $\mathcal{I} = find(s_1^N \geq N_f)$
11: $\mathcal{F} = find(f_1^N \geq K)$
12: Put elements not in $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{U}$

with R when $R < 0.5$. At the code rate R = 0.5, both PO and PO + DR have the largest value of γ. But the savings of applying DR is also the largest at $R = 0.5$. For a small code rate $R \leq 0.1$, less than 10% of the remaining channels are left in the undetermined set $\mathcal{U}$. Both PO and PO+DR have the largest value of γ at $R = 0.5$.

Fig. 3 shows the relationship between γ and the block length for two fixed code rates: $R = 0.5$ and $R = 0.1$. The two circled lines are for $R = 0.5$: the solid line is with POs and the dashed one is PO+DR. Similarly the two lines with stars are values of γ for $R = 0.1$. From Fig. 3, we can see that the number of undetermined channels slowly increases with the block length when applying POs. The same is true for PO+DR although there is a very small variation. From Fig. 3, it can be seen that PO+DR can bring around 70% of the savings in terms of the computation time for polar code construction with a code rate $R = 0.5$ and $N = 1024$.

V. Conclusion

In this paper, we apply the partial orders of polar codes to decrease the computation complexity in the construction. We show clearly that POs can indeed bring big savings in constructing polar codes. The remaining undetermined bit channels after applying POs are further processed by the proposed dimension reduction and significant savings are achieved.

References

[1] E. Arikan, "Channel Polarization: A Method for Constructing Capacity-Achieving Codes for Symmetric Binary-Input Memoryless Channels," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3051–3073, 2009.



(a) Determined only from the two POs (b) Determined from the two POs and the dimension reduction

Fig. 2: The relationship of all bit channels with $N = 2^9$. (a): Black dots indicate that the relationship is determined from the two POs while white dots mean that the relationship can't be determined from the two POs. (b): The underlying channel is AWGN with a SNR of 1 dB. On top of the black dots, the red dots indicate the additional relationships obtained from the dimension reduction.



Fig. 3: The value of γ with two different code rates: $R = 0.1$ and $R = 0.5$. The underlying channel is AWGN with a SNR of 1 dB.

[2] R. Mori and T. Tanaka, "Performance and Construction of Polar codes on Symmetric Binary-Input Memoryless Channels," in *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, June 2009, pp. 1496–1500.

[3] ——, "Performance of polar codes with the construction using density evolution," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 519–521, Jul. 2009.

[4] I. Tal and A. Vardy, "How to Construct Polar Codes," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 59, no. 10, pp. 6562–6582, Oct 2013.

[5] P. Trifonov, "Efficient Design and Decoding of Polar Codes," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 60, no. 11, pp. 3221–3227, November 2012.

[6] D. Wu, Y. Li, and Y. Sun, "Construction and Block Error Rate Analysis of Polar Codes Over AWGN Channel Based on Gaussian Approximation," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 1099–1102, Jul. 2014.

[7] J. Dai, K. Niu, Z. Si, and J. Lin, "Evaluation and Optimization of Gaussian Approximation for Polar Codes," https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.07236, 2016.

[8] C. Schurch, "A Partial Order For the Synthesized Channels of a Polar Code," in *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, July 2016, pp. 220–224.

[9] M. Alsan, " A novel partial order for the information sets of polar codes over B-DMCs," in *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*

(ISIT), July 2014, pp. 136–140.